

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,052	12/30/2003	Brian Alan Grove	2043.036US1	2043.036US1 9104	
49845 SCHWEGMA1	7590 09/17/200 N, LUNDBERG & WC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938			GARG, Y	GARG, YOGESH C	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/17/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@SLWIP.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

مين _ا المين

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/750,052	GROVE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Yogesh C. Garg	3625		

		rogesh C. Garg	3025	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REP	LY FILED 30 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. ⊠ The this plac a Re	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the followers the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Note equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid aba offidavit, or other evider on compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) 🗍	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TI	ing date of the final rejecti	on.
have been under 37 C set forth in may reduc	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exEFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latere any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing o	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filing	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extention of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed IENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
3.	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see Now); tter form for appeal by materially corresponding number of finally r	OTE below); reducing or simplifying	
5.	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). a amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a -allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-0	·	
7. For how The Clai Clai Clai Clai	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed: im(s) objected to: im(s) rejected: im(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an o	explanation of
8. 🔲 The bec	IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🔲 The ente sho 10. 🔲 Th	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
11. 🛛 Th	T FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER be request for reconsideration has been considered but be Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. 🔲 No	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). her:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	ahea	
		•	Yogesh C Garg	

Yogesh C Garg Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3625



Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that Nishi in view of Holden does not teach the limitation," notifying automatically one or more bidders of the adjustment of the reserve price", see Remarks, filed on 8/30/2007, pages 6-9. The examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons: The step of notification, as claimed, is related to send a message automatically to one or more recipents (bidders) on occurrence of an event, such as claimed," adjustment of the reserve price". The combined arts of Nishi and Holden teach this limitation as presented in the Final officie action mailed on 6/26/2007 and reproduced below:

Regarding claim 15, Nishi discloses a network-based commerce system including a processor coupled to a memory through a bus (see Fig1, paragraphs 0001-0029 and 0068-0071. The computer 4 performing the center processing includes a processor coupled to a memory through a bus for storing computer programs) and an auction price-setting process executed from the memory by the processor to cause the processor to adjust a reserve price associated with a listing of an item during a network-based auction price-setting process (see at least paragraphs 0088 and 0156-0174.).

Regarding limitation, "notifying automatically one or more bidders of the adjustment of the reserve price", it would be implied that Nishi, via its computerized system communicates the adjustment of the reserved price to the bidders enabling them to consider it before making bids. Nishi does not explicitly teach that notification to bidders is carried out automatically via e-mail. However, it was well-known at the time of the applicant's invention to set automatic triggers for sending automatic notifications via emails to users/consumers, see Holden (at least paragraph 0082 and claim 28). In view of Holden, it would be obvious to one of an ordinary skilled in the art to set automatic triggers for automatically notifying one or more bidders about change in the reserve price because this enables efficient and real time communication of change in the ongoing auction terms which all bidders must know.

In view of the above the application is not in condition for allowance.